

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/691,842	10/23/2003	Norman John Garden	LAMA121885	6684
26389	7590 02/15/2005		EXAMINER	
CHRISTENSEN, O'CONNOR, JOHNSON, KINDNESS, PLLC			HECKENBERG JR, DONALD H	
1420 FIFTH AVENUE SUITE 2800		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SEATTLE, V	WA 98101-2347	1722		
			DATE MAILED: 02/15/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summer	10/691,842	GARDEN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Donald Heckenberg	1722				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	·					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on October 23, 2003 is/are	: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected	d to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	-	• •				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(a))-(d) or (f)				
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)□ All b)□ Some * c)⊠ None of:						
	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No				
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)	□	,				
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u></u> .	6)					

1. Acknowledgment is made of Applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in Canada on August 27, 2003. It is noted, however, that Applicant has not filed a certified copy of the Canadian application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 3, and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jennings, Jr. et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 3,920,349).

Initially it is noted that claim 1 includes two "means for" clauses. Both of these elements have been interpreted as invoking 35 U.S.C. § 112, sixth paragraph, and thereby are limited to the corresponding structure described in the specification and equivalents thereof. In re Donaldson, 16 F.3d 1189, 1194, 29 USPQ2d 1845, 1850 (Fed. Cir. 1994); MPEP § 2181. Thus, the "means for causing concrete to flow from the hopper through the lower concrete outlet to the slip form" has been

interpreted as being a vibrator or equivalent thereof as described at page 3, lines 5 and 6 of the specification. The "means for selectively locking the support in one of the first or the second position" has been interpreted as being a locking pin arrangement or equivalent thereof as described at page 3, lines 16-22 of the specification.

Jennings discloses a curb forming apparatus. The apparatus comprises a hopper (26) for initially holding concrete. The concrete leaves the hopper (26) and enters a housing (30) before moving to the slip form (34). The housing (30) is thus a "hopper" as well as it temporarily contains the concrete supply before it is directed to the slip form.

Jennings further provides a mounting (the pin at central axis 72) adapted to mount to a vehicle structure (12). A hopper support arm (68') is secured to the hopper-housing (30), with the support arm being pivotally mounted to the mounting for pivotal movement between a first position with the hopper extending toward a first side of the vehicle (Fig. 2), and a second position with the hopper extending toward a second side of the vehicle (Fig. 3). Means for locking the support arm in one of the first and second position are provided in the form of opposed locking pin apertures extending from opposite sides of the hopper support, such that a locking pin (92') extending

through a selected one of the apertures and into engagement with the mounting maintains the support arm in one of the first or second position (see cl. 3, 11. 63-67).

Jennings still further provides an auger means (28) for causing concrete to flow from the hopper-housing (30) to the slip form (34). This structure is equivalent to a vibrator positioned in the hopper because it also functions to cause concrete flow by acting on the concrete itself. See In re Bond, 910 F.2d 831, 15 USPQ2d 1566 (Fed. Cir. 1990) (noting a structure can be found to be an equivalent when the structure performs the function specified in the claim in substantially the same manner as the function is performed by the corresponding element described in the specification).

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. The factual inquiries set forth in <u>Graham v. John Deere</u>

 <u>Co.</u>, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for

establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 6. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jennings, Jr. et al. in view of Baucom (U.S. Pat. No. 4,298,293).

Jennings discloses the apparatus as described above, notably including a means for causing concrete to flow from the hopper to the slip form. Jennings however discloses an auger means for causing this function rather than a vibrator.

Baucom discloses a curb forming apparatus. The apparatus is provided with a vibrator (92) within the hopper (26) for the purpose of causing concrete flow in the apparatus (cl. 3, 11. 51-59).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to have modified the device disclosed by Jennings as such to have used a vibrator instead of a auger because such a structure is known in the art

as an equivalent for causing concrete flow as suggested by Baucom.

7. The following referenced cited but not relied upon are deemed pertinent to the instant application:

Davis (U.S. Pat. No. 3,600,773) discloses a concrete-forming device.

Clarke, Jr. et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 4,798,266) discloses a self-propelled construction apparatus.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Donald Heckenberg whose telephone number is (571) 272-1131. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:30 A.M. to 6:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Benjamin Utech, can be reached at (571) 272-1137. The official fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/691,842 Page 7

Art Unit: 1722

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197 (toll-free).

Donald Heckenberg

A.U. 1722